

---

## Introductory Comments on Down's Observations

To my mind, little justification is needed for reprinting this short essay by J. L. H. Down as a "classic" in the field of mental retardation and disability studies. However, it may be useful to speculate about what type of "classic" it is. It is not that the article is one of current influence or even approval. Down's noteworthy delineation of the syndrome that now carries his name is nonetheless embedded in an approach to evolution and "degeneracy" that is blatantly racist and thoroughly discredited. The only rationale for an assessment such as that given by Scheerenberger (1983) that Down's approach "was not intended as a negative view of any given race," (p. 57) is that, indeed, Down found *all* other races inferior to the Caucasian club to which he happened to belong. In an essay that itself serves as an excellent introduction to Down's piece, Stephen Jay Gould (1980) pointed out that, for his time, Down was a progressive racist, if that is not an oxymoron. In the piece reprinted here, Down is, in part, arguing against some of his contemporaries by maintaining that the members of these lesser races were still human, just lower on the evolutionary ladder (the phrase, "damning with faint praise," seems designed specifically for Down's argument). Our enduring racism today is usually more subtle and systemic.

However, Down's essay is more than just a period piece of 19th century scientific racism: of interest to historians, perhaps, but of little relevance to our contemporary concerns in mental retardation. As Steven Gelb argued in his article "The Beast in Man: Degenerationism and Mental Retardation, 1900–1920" that appears in this is-

sue of *Mental Retardation*, the portrayal of people with mental retardation as a "social menace," is grounded in a dehumanizing ideology that may have changed its terms (*degeneracy*, *eugenics*) over the decades but has persisted in its practices of marginalization and control down to our current era. Reprinting Down's essay, then, to appear in the same issue as Gelb's account of the larger history of degeneracy seems particularly appropriate and illustrative. It may be true that we must walk backwards into the future, facing where we have been, uncertain of where we are going. If that be true, then at least we should keep our eyes open as we stumble along.

Down's essay originally appeared in England in 1866. It is generally credited as the earliest clinical description of what he called "mongoloid idiocy," as a condition separate and distinct from cretinism. The version reprinted here was actually itself a reprint of that original essay, appearing in the *Journal of Mental Science*, in 1867. Down elaborated his views at much greater length in a later book entitled *Mental Affections of Children and Youth* (1887). The racially based terms *mongoloid* and *mongolism* that Down coined continued to be widely used well into the 1980s.—Philip Ferguson, Associate Editor

### References

- Down, J. L. H. (1887). *Mental affections of children and youth*. London: Churchill.  
Gould, S. J. (1980). *The panda's thumb: More reflections in natural history*. New York: Norton.  
Scheerenberger, R. C. (1983). *A history of mental retardation*. Baltimore: Brookes.

## Observations on an Ethnic Classification of Idiots

J. Langdon H. Down

I have for some time, writes Dr. Down, had my attention directed to the possibility of making a classification of the feeble-minded, by arranging them around various ethnic standards—in other words, framing a natural system to supple-

ment the information to be derived by an inquiry into the history of the case.

I have been able to find among the large number of idiots and imbeciles which come under my observations, both at Earlswood and

---

the out-patient department of the hospital, that a considerate portion can be fairly referred to one of the great divisions of the human family other than the class from which they have sprung. Of course, there are numerous representations of the great Caucasian family. Several well-marked examples of the Ethiopian variety have come under my notice, presenting the characteristic malar bones, the prominent eyes, the puffy lips, and retreating chin. The woolly hair has also been present, although not always black, nor has the skin acquired pigmentary deposit. They have been specimens of white negroes, although of European descent.

Some arrange themselves around the Malay variety, and present in their soft, black, curling hair, their prominent upper jaws and capacious mouth, types of the family which people the South Sea Islands. Nor have there been wanting the analogues of the people who, with shortened foreheads, prominent cheeks, deep-set eyes, and slightly apish nose, originally inhabited the American Continent.

The great Mongolian family has numerous representatives, and it is to this division I wish, in this paper, to call special attention. A very large number of congenital idiots are typical Mongols. So marked in this, that when placed side by side, it is difficult to believe that the specimens compared are not children of the same parents.

The number of idiots who arrange themselves around the Mongolian type is so great, and they present such a close resemblance to one another in mental power, that I shall describe an idiot member of this racial division, selected from the large number that have fallen under my observation:

The hair is not black, as in the real Mongol, but a brownish colour, straight and scanty. The face is flat and broad, and destitute of prominence. The cheeks are roundish, and extended laterally. The eyes are obliquely placed, and the internal canthi more than normally distant from one another. The palpebral fissure is very narrow. The forehead is wrinkled transversely, from the constant assistance which the levatores pebrarum derive from the occipito-frontalis muscle in the opening of the eyes. The lips are large and thick, with transverse fissures. The tongue is long, thick and is much roughened. The nose is small. The skin has a slight dirty-yellowish tinge, and is deficient in elasticity, giving the appearance of being too large of the body.

The boy's aspect is such, that is difficult to realize that he is the child of Europeans; but so frequently are these characters presented, that there can be no doubt that these ethnic features are the result of degeneration.

The Mongolian type of idiocy occurs in more than 10 per cent of the cases which are presented to me. They are always congenital idiots, and never result from accidents after uterine life. They are, for the most part, instances of degeneracy arising from tuberculosis in the parents. They are cases which very much repay judicious treatment. They require highly azotized food, with a considerable amount of oleaginous material. They have considerable power of imitation, even bordering on being mimics. They are humorous, and a lively sense of the ridiculous often colour their mimicry. This faculty of imitation may be cultivated to a very great extent, and a practical direction given to the results obtained. They are usually able to speak; the speech is thick and indistinct, but may be improved very greatly by a well-directed scheme of tongue gymnastics. The coordinating faculty is abnormal, but not so defective that it cannot be greatly strengthened. By systematic training, considerable manipulative power may be obtained.

The circulation is feeble; and whatever advance is made intellectually in the summer, some amount of retrogression may be expected in the winter. The mental and physical capabilities are, in fact, directly as the temperature.

The improvement which training effects in them is greatly in excess of what would be predicated if one did know the characteristics of the type. The life expectancy, however, is far below the average, and the tendency is to the tuberculosis which I believe to be the hereditary origin of the degeneracy.

Apart from the practical bearing of this attempt at the ethnic classification, considerable philosophical interests attaches to it. The tendency in the present day is to reject the opinion that the various races are merely varieties of the human family having a common origin, and to insist that climatic or other influences are sufficient to account for the different types of man. Here, however, we have examples of retrogression, or at all events, of departure from one type and the assumption of the characteristics of another.

If these great racial divisions are fixed and definite, how comes it that disease is able to break down the barrier, and to stimulate so

closely the features of the members of another division? I cannot but think that the observations which I have recorded are indications that the difference in the races are not specific, but variable.

These examples of the result of degeneracy among the mankind appear to me to furnish some argument in favour of the unity of the human species.

# The Self-Advocacy Movement... A Demographic Study and Directory

**The Self-Advocacy Movement by People with Developmental Disabilities: A Demographic Study and Directory of Self-Advocacy Groups in the United States**

By Nancy Anne Longhurst

In cooperation with People First of Illinois and the Illinois University Affiliated Program in Developmental Disabilities. Profits shared with People First of Illinois

How many self-advocacy groups are there in the United States? Who belongs to self-advocacy groups? Where are they located? What kinds of activities do they engage in? What is the future of self-advocacy? *The Self-Advocacy Movement* is the most complete survey of self-advocacy groups ever conducted. Beginning with a history of self-advocacy in the United States and ending with a national directory of self-advocacy groups, this book provides an in-depth discussion of the emerging self-advocacy movement. *The Self-Advocacy Movement* is an invaluable reference for advocates, parents, researchers, community practitioners, and self-advocates. Order yours today!

Call 301/604-1340 or write to order your copy today!

For more information call 1-800-424-3688.

**\$21.95**

## AAMR

AAMR Publication Center

P.O. Box 25, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701-0025

Special discount for AAMR members